A GREEN CHEMICAL APPROACH FOR NITRATION OF AROMATIC COMPOUNDS
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Photochemical aromatic nitration of phenol and salicylic acid has been carried out in the presence of UV radiation and formation of products has been observed spectrophotometrically. The effect of various operating variables like pH, concentration of nitrite ion, formate ion, phenol and salicylic acid, etc. on the rate of the reaction has also been observed. A tentative mechanism involving NO₂⁻ radicals has been proposed for photochemical nitration of phenol and salicylic acid.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Aromatic compounds undergo electrophilic substitution reactions due to high electron density of the benzene ring. These reactions are difficult and proceed under drastic conditions such as use of fuming nitric acid, high temperature, refluxing, etc. which create pollution and hazardous environment. Therefore, it has been proposed to develop an alternate green chemical path ways like photochemical, photocatalytic, microwave irradiation, etc. to synthesize nitro compounds under ambient conditions.

The destruction of hazardous organic pollutant in waste streams, drinking water and industrial effluent can be achieved through the use of Advance Oxidation Processes (AOPs). These are chemical oxidant ion techniques, which are able to produce in situ reactive free radicals, mainly hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by means of different reacting systems. These processes are capable of degrading almost all organic contaminants.

The concept of AOPs was originally established by Glaze et al. [1] as “Oxidation Processes which generated hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by means of different reacting systems. These processes are capable of degrading almost all organic contaminants. The destruction of hazardous organic pollutant in waste streams, drinking water and industrial effluent can be achieved through the use of Advance Oxidation Processes (AOPs). These are chemical oxidant ion techniques, which are able to produce in situ reactive free radicals, mainly hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by means of different reacting systems. These processes are capable of degrading almost all organic contaminants. The concept of AOPs was originally established by Glaze et al. [1] as “Oxidation Processes which generated hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by means of different reacting systems. These processes are capable of degrading almost all organic contaminants. The destruction of hazardous organic pollutant in waste streams, drinking water and industrial effluent can be achieved through the use of Advance Oxidation Processes (AOPs). These are chemical oxidant ion techniques, which are able to produce in situ reactive free radicals, mainly hydroxyl radicals (•OH) by means of different reacting systems. These processes are capable of degrading almost all organic contaminants.
and Sivakumar [5] suggested a simple and effective solid-supported reagent for nitration of phenols and their derivatives on zeolite H-Y-supported copper(II)nitrate.

Photochemically induced nitration and hydroxylation of organic aromatic compounds in the presence of nitrate or nitrite in ice were observed by Nina et al. [6]. Nitration of aromatic compound on silica sulphuric acid was investigated by Mohammed Ali Zolfigol et al. [7]. Varma et al. [8] investigated a solvent-free synthesis of p-nitrostyrene derived from styrene and its substituted derivatives using inexpensive ‘doped’ clay reagents, clayfen and clayan.

Beitz et al. [9] has investigated the photo-reactions of nitrate and nitrite ions with selected azaarenes in water. Negav et al. [10] carried out direct N-nitration of bis(trifloromethyl) containing 2-azanorbornanes. Kono et al. [11] observed that catalase catalyzes peroxynitrite mediated phenolic nitration of 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid. Cynide, azide and 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole inhibited the nitration.

Vapour phase nitration of toluene using nitric acid and molecular modeling studies over beta-zeolite was observed by Dagade et al. [12]. Desrocheset et al. [13] reported nitration of thiacalix [4] arene using nitrosonium nitrate complex, whereas nitration of [3,3]- and [3,3,3]-metacyclophanes through space electronic between two or three benzene rings was carried out by Yamato et al. [14]. Usui et al. [15] suggested the photochemical nitration of benzoic acid derivatives by irradiation to nitrate ions. The photolysis of nitrite and nitrous which is a relevant source of OH• in the environment [16], but it also yields nitrogen dioxide [17].

\[ \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{OH}^- \]
\[ \text{NO}_2^- + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^+ + \text{OH}^- \]

2. EXPERIMENTAL

0.09411 g of phenol was dissolved in 100 ml of doubly distilled water and 0.069 g of NaNO₂ in 100 ml of doubly distilled water. Also, 0.068 g of sodium formate was dissolved in 100 ml of doubly distilled water. All the three solutions were used as stock solutions. 8.0 ml of phenol solution, 6.0 ml of NaNO₂ solution and 0.8 ml of sodium formate solution were mixed, so that the concentration of phenol, sodium nitrite and sodium formate in the reaction mixture was 3.2·10⁻³ M, 2.4·10⁻³ M and 3.2·10⁻⁴ M, respectively.

Similarly, 0.138 g of salicylic acid was dissolved in 100 ml of doubly distilled water, 0.069 g of NaNO₂ in 100 ml of doubly distilled water and 0.068 g of sodium formate was dissolved in 100 ml of doubly distilled water. All the three solutions were used as stock solutions. 7.0 ml of salicylic acid solution, 6.0 ml of NaNO₂ solution and 0.6 ml of sodium formate solutions were mixed, so that the concentration of salicylic acid, sodium nitrite and sodium formate in the reaction mixture was 2.8·10⁻³ M, 2.4·10⁻³ M and 2.4·10⁻⁴ M, respectively.

The reaction mixture was exposed to the ultraviolet lamp of 254 nm. The optical density of the solution at various time intervals was determined at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 400 \text{ nm} \) and 390 nm of phenol and salicylic acid with the help of spectrophotometer (Systronics model 106). Some control experiments were also carried out and it was concluded that light is necessary for photochemical nitration of phenol and salicylic acid. The products were identified by gas chromatography combined with mass spectroscopy GC-MS (Perkins Elmer Auto System XL).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of a typical run for photochemical nitration are shown in Table 1. It was observed that absorption (A) increases with time exposure for both phenol and salicylic acid indicating the formation of nitro products but after optimum absorption (A) it becomes almost constant. A plot of \( 1 + \log A \) versus exposure time was linear and hence, the reaction follows pseudo-first order kinetics and the rate constant was determined by the expression \( k = 2.303 \cdot \text{slope} \).

Effect of pH

The pH of medium is likely to affect the rate of reaction. The effect of pH variation was investigated in the pH range of 4 – 7.5 and the results are summarized in Table 2. The rate of reaction increases on increasing pH up to 5.5 for phenol and 5.0 for salicylic acid. There after, there is an adverse effect on the rate of reaction on increasing the pH.
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**Table 1**

**Typical run for phenol and salicylic acid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phenol</th>
<th>Salicylic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Phenol] = 3.2·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>[Salicylic acid] = 2.8·10⁻³ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>pH = 5.5</td>
<td>pH = 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaNO₂</td>
<td>2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>NaNO₂ = 2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCOONa</td>
<td>3.2·10⁻⁴ M</td>
<td>HCOONa = 2.4·10⁻⁴ M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>1 + log A (Phenol)</th>
<th>1 + log A (Salicylic acid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.0969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.2227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.3053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>0.4768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>0.5528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.129</td>
<td>0.5852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>0.6037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.141</td>
<td>0.6097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>0.6131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.156</td>
<td>0.6202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>0.6265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>0.6315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>0.6368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>0.6412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>0.6521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.208</td>
<td>0.6602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.6689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ k_1 = 1.28\cdot10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \]
\[ k_2 = 7.67\cdot10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1} \]

**Table 2**

**Effect of pH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phenol</th>
<th>Salicylic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[Phenol] = 3.2·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>[Salicylic acid] = 2.8·10⁻³ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaNO₂</td>
<td>2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>NaNO₂ = 2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCOONa</td>
<td>3.2·10⁻⁴ M</td>
<td>HCOONa = 2.4·10⁻⁴ M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>( k \cdot 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} ) (Phenol)</th>
<th>( k \cdot 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} ) (Salicylic acid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.79</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initially, when pH is increased, the reaction rate also increases because \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 \) are easily generated,

\[ \text{NO}_2^+ + \text{NO}^* \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 \quad (1) \]
\[ \text{NO}_2^+ + \text{NO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 \quad (2) \]

which are considered to be active species for photonitration of aromatic systems

\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{ArH} \rightarrow \text{ArNO} + \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (3) \]
\[ \text{ArNO} \xrightarrow{\text{oxidation}} \text{ArNO}_2 \quad (4) \]
\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{ArH} \rightarrow \text{ArNO}_2\cdot \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (5) \]
Retardation of rate by further increase in pH is due to decrease in the generation of NO$_2^*$ radicals.

\[
\cdot{OH} + {NO}_2^- \rightarrow {NO}_2^* + \cdot{OH^-} \tag{6}
\]

Increase in pH will add more OH$^-$ ions to the solution. It will retard the reaction (6) and as a consequence, the formation of NO$_2^*$ radicals also. Hence there will be a corresponding decrease in the rate of reaction.

\textit{Effect of nitrite ion concentration}

Effect of nitrite ion concentration on the rate of reaction is investigated and results are given in Table 3. It is observed that the rate of reaction increases with the increase in nitrite concentration upto a certain limit, i.e. 2.4·10$^{-3}$ M for both phenol and salicylic acids. After this, a further increase in concentration of nitrite ion adversely affects the rate of reaction.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
Phenol & Salicylic acid & \\
\hline
[Phenol] = 3.2·10$^{-3}$ M & [Salicylic acid] = 2.8·10$^{-3}$ M & \\
pH = 5.5 & pH = 5.0 & \\
HCOONa = 3.2·10$^{-4}$ M & HCOONa = 2.4·10$^{-4}$ M & \\
\hline
$[\text{NaNO}_2]\cdot10^3$ M & $k\cdot10^4$ s$^{-1}$ (Phenol) & $k\cdot10^3$ s$^{-1}$ (Salicylic acid) & \\
\hline
2.0 & 3.14 & 3.40 & \\
2.4 & 12.79 & 7.08 & \\
2.8 & 9.45 & 4.36 & \\
3.2 & 9.17 & 4.28 & \\
3.6 & 7.00 & 4.06 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Effect of sodium nitrite concentration}
\end{table}

As the concentration of sodium nitrite is increased, more NO$_2^-$ ions are available for excitation and consecutive generation of NO$_2^*$ radicals and hence, the rate of reaction increases but after a certain limit, these nitrite ions will hinder their own movement, which in turn, will decrease the rate of reaction.

\textit{Effect of formate ion concentration}

As the formate ions are OH$^*$ radical scavenger and therefore, the photochemical nitration is carried out in the presence and absence of formate ions. It is observed that the rate of photochemical reaction increases rapidly in the presence of formate ions. It is so because OH$^*$ radicals are scavenged by formate ions making more NO$_2^*$ radicals available for nitration. Moreover, OH$^*$ radicals also decrease the concentration of NO$_2^*$ radicals according to the equation (8). The results are reported in Table 4.

\[
\text{HCOO}^- + \cdot{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{7}
\]

\[
\text{OH}^* + {NO}_2^* \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + \text{H}^* \tag{8}
\]

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
Phenol & Salicylic acid & \\
\hline
[Phenol] = 3.2·10$^{-3}$ M & [Salicylic acid] = 2.8·10$^{-3}$ M & \\
pH = 5.5 & pH = 5.0 & \\
NaNO$_2$ = 2.4·10$^{-3}$ M & NaNO$_2$ = 2.4·10$^{-3}$ M & \\
\hline
$[\text{HCOONa}]\cdot10^4$ M & $k\cdot10^4$ s$^{-1}$ (Phenol) & $k\cdot10^3$ s$^{-1}$ (Salicylic acid) & \\
\hline
2.0 & 8.81 & 6.34 & \\
2.4 & 10.96 & 7.08 & \\
2.8 & 12.49 & 6.43 & \\
3.2 & 12.79 & 6.26 & \\
3.6 & 8.84 & 6.10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Effect of formate ion concentration}
\end{table}

\textit{Effect of phenol and salicylic acid concentration}

The effect of the change in concentration of both phenol and salicylic acid on the rate of reaction is also studied and the results are presented in Table 5.

As the concentration of phenol and salicylic acid is increased, the rate of reaction increases upto a certain limit, i.e. 3.2·10$^{-3}$ M for phenol and 2.8·10$^{-3}$ M for salicylic acid. After this, further increase in concentration results in a decrease in the rate of reaction. At higher concentration phe-
nol and salicylic acid themselves act as filters due to their dark colors. Hence, they will not permit the desired light intensity to reach the molecules present in the bulk of solution and as a result, the reaction rate is retarded.

Table 5
Effect of phenol and salicylic acid concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenol pH = 5.5</th>
<th>Salicylic acid pH = 5.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaNO₂ = 2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>NaNO₂ = 2.4·10⁻³ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCOONa = 3.2·10⁻⁴ M</td>
<td>HCOONa = 2.4·10⁻⁴ M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Compounds]·10⁻³ M</td>
<td>k·10⁴ s⁻¹ (Phenol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>12.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identification of products

The major products are identified as 4-nitrobenzene-1,2-diol and nitrososalicylic acid.

Although formate ions are used as "OH radical scavengers in order to increase the rate of nitration, "OH radicals are very reactive and higher in concentration than NO₂ " radicals, therefore –OH groups are also introduced in the ring even in the presence of formate ions. The nitroso group (–NO) has also been introduced into the ring on reaction with N₂O₅.

The minor products of salicylic acid (I & II) and phenol (III, IV & V) are also obtained and characterized by GC-MS as-

Mechanism

On the basis of the observed data, a tentative mechanism has been proposed for the nitration of aromatic compounds.

\[
\text{NO}_2^- \xrightarrow{h\nu} [\text{NO}_2^+]^*
\]

\[
[\text{NO}_2^+]^* \rightarrow \text{NO}^* + \text{O}^*^-
\]

\[
\text{O}^*^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{"OH} + \text{OH}^-
\]

\[
2 \text{"OH} + \text{NO}^* \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^* + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{"OH} + \text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^* + \text{OH}^-
\]

\[\text{NO}_2^* + \text{R} = -\text{OH} & \text{R'} = -\text{H} (\text{in phenol})
\]

\[\text{R} = -\text{COOH} & \text{R'} = -\text{OH} (\text{in salicylic acid})\]

Nitrite ion absorbs radiation of suitable wavelength and get excited. This excited nitrite ion dissociates into NO* radicals and O*^- radical anion. This radical anion decomposes water to give HO* radicals and OH^- ion. The HO* radical may react.
with NO• radical to generate NO₂• radical which may also undergo in case of recombination reaction giving nitrite ion. This step seems to dominate in higher pH range. NO₂• radicals now attack the aromatic moiety giving nitro derivatives.

4. CONCLUSION

Ordinary nitrilation of aromatic systems is accompanied by hazardous brown fumes of NO₂ gas, which adds environmental pollution. The present work provides a facile route for their process, i.e. nitrilation. However, the yield of the product does not react the desired extent, but photochemical nitrilation of aromatic systems by alkalinitrites will provide an ecofriendly route and the rate of the reaction may be increased in years to come to make this process commercially viable.
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